

NRL Report 6163

# Electrodynamics in a Magneto-Ionic Environment

WILLIAM R. FAUST

*Applications Research Division*

November 12, 1964



**U.S. NAVAL RESEARCH LABORATORY**  
Washington, D.C.



## CONTENTS

Abstract	ii
Problem Status	ii
Authorization	ii
INTRODUCTION	1
ELECTRODYNAMICS OF PLASMA	1
THE RAYLEIGH-CARSON THEOREM	7
CHARGE DISTRIBUTION AROUND A SATELLITE	9
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	12
REFERENCES	12

## ABSTRACT

The equations of electromagnetic wave propagation in a magneto-ionic environment are first derived via the hydrodynamic equations of motion. This subject is presented in order to exhibit explicitly the approximations made in obtaining the equations of electrodynamics as applied to a plasma. An application of the above results are then made to derive a generalized equation of reciprocity for a plasma medium. Last a theoretical discussion of the plasma sheath surrounding a satellite shows that the satellite is charged to a potential of about 0.75 volt and that the sheath extends out about 2.5 cm. Such a sheath may affect the characteristics of an antenna.

## PROBLEM STATUS

This is a report on one phase of the problem; work continues on other portions.

## AUTHORIZATION

NRL Problem R01-36  
Project SF 019-02-02-7447

Manuscript submitted August 17, 1964.

## ELECTRODYNAMICS IN A MAGNETO-IONIC ENVIRONMENT

### INTRODUCTION

This report summarizes some of the theoretical work directed toward an understanding of electromagnetic propagation effects in the ionosphere posed by the Lofti experiments. Some of the theory described herein covers much of what may be familiar to a plasma physicist but may not be readily available to others who have just encountered the problem of electromagnetic wave propagation in a magneto-ionic medium.

The first section derives the equations of electrodynamics for a magneto-ionic medium based upon a hydrodynamic approximation to the motion of the constituent particles. While the electrodynamic equations ultimately obtained are identical in form to the Maxwell equations, the resemblance is superficial. To derive these equations, the equations of motion of the plasma are first linearized (a perturbation technique), which involves neglecting products of perturbations. If the electric and magnetic fields were not small, then such approximations could not be made, and the hydrodynamic Maxwell-Lorentz equations would have to be retained in their full generality to properly describe the phenomena.

The second section makes use of the equations derived previously and obtains a generalization of the Rayleigh-Carson theorem for a plasma. The Rayleigh-Carson theorem is a statement of the reciprocity that exists between two radiating elements in isotropic space. The generalization is made here to include the case of a magnetoactive medium.

In the last section of the report application of the work of Jastrow and Pearse is made to estimate the order of magnitude of potential and charges collected by a satellite moving through the ionosphere.

### ELECTRODYNAMICS OF PLASMA

The most logical approach to the equations of plasma physics is via the kinetic theory. However, because of the rather lengthy arguments involved this technique will not be utilized. Instead the hydrodynamic equations, as given in Refs. 1-3, will be postulated initially, so that a vast amount of mathematical justification can be circumvented. References 4 and 5 confirm that the kinetic theory ultimately leads to the hydrodynamic equations.

For a plasma containing electrons of number density  $N_e$  and mass  $m_e$ ; ions,  $N_i$ ,  $m_i$ ; and neutral molecules  $N_m$ ,  $M$ , the hydrodynamic equations are as follows (1-3):

$$N_e m_e \left[ \frac{\partial \mathbf{V}_e}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{V}_e \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{V}_e \right] = -e N_e \left( \mathbf{E} + \frac{\mathbf{V}_e \times \mathbf{H}}{c} \right) - \nabla p_e + m_e N_e \nu_{e_i} (\mathbf{V}_i - \mathbf{V}_e) + m_e N_e \nu_{e_m} (\mathbf{V}_m - \mathbf{V}_e) \quad (1a)$$

$$N_i m_i \left[ \frac{\partial \mathbf{V}_i}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{V}_i \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{V}_i \right] = e N_i \left( \mathbf{E} + \frac{\mathbf{V}_i \times \mathbf{H}}{c} \right) - \nabla p_i + m_e N_e \nu_{e_i} (\mathbf{V}_e - \mathbf{V}_i) + m_i N_i \nu_{i_m} (\mathbf{V}_m - \mathbf{V}_i) \quad (1b)$$

$$N_m M \left[ \frac{\partial \mathbf{V}_m}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{V}_m \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{V}_m \right] = -\nabla p_m - m_e N_e \nu_{e_m} (\mathbf{V}_m - \mathbf{V}_e) - m_i N_i \nu_{i_m} (\mathbf{V}_m - \mathbf{V}_i). \quad (1c)$$

Here  $\mathbf{V}_e$ ,  $\mathbf{V}_i$ , and  $\mathbf{V}_m$  are respectively the velocities of the species designated by the subscript and  $p$  is the pressure, which is assumed to be isotropic. The frictional forces between various species are, as usual, assumed to be proportional to the relative velocity and collision rates,  $\nu_{e_i}$ ,  $\nu_{e_m}$ , and  $\nu_{i_m}$ . In addition to the equations of motion for each species there are also the equations of continuity:

$$\frac{\partial N_e}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (N_e \mathbf{V}_e) = 0 \quad (2a)$$

$$\frac{\partial N_i}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (N_i \mathbf{V}_i) = 0 \quad (2b)$$

$$\frac{\partial N_m}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (N_m \mathbf{V}_m) = 0. \quad (2c)$$

The equations of state are taken to be

$$p_e = k N_e T_e \quad (3a)$$

$$p_i = k N_i T_i \quad (3b)$$

$$p_m = k N_m T_m \quad (3c)$$

where  $k$  is Boltzmann's constant. Equations (1a)-(3c) must, of course, be supplemented by the field equations:

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = -\frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \mathbf{H}}{\partial t} \quad (4a)$$

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{H} = \frac{4\pi}{c} \mathbf{J} + \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t} \quad (4b)$$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} = 4\pi \rho \quad (4c)$$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{H} = 0 \quad (4d)$$

$$\mathbf{J} = e(N_i \mathbf{V}_i - N_e \mathbf{V}_e) \quad (4e)$$

$$\rho = e(N_i - N_e). \quad (4f)$$

In all the above equations  $e$  represents the absolute value of the electronic charge and each ion has been assumed to be singly ionized.

In the above set of equations, if  $\mathbf{E}$  is assumed to be an alternating field, while the other quantities,  $\mathbf{V}_i$ ,  $N_i$ ,  $\mathbf{H}$ , etc., are assumed to consist of a constant plus a small perturbation (1), then

$$N_e = n_0 + n_e, \quad N_i = n_0 + n_i, \quad N_m = N_0 + n \quad (5)$$

$$\mathbf{V}_e = \mathbf{v}_e^0 + \mathbf{v}_e, \quad \mathbf{V}_i = \mathbf{v}_i^0 + \mathbf{v}_i \quad (6)$$

$$\mathbf{V}_m = \mathbf{v}_m^0 + \mathbf{v}_m \quad (7)$$

$$\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{H}_0 + \mathbf{h}. \quad (8)$$

Substitution of the above relations in Eqs. (1)-(4) and neglect of products of perturbation terms leads to the linearized equations of motion. In order to study the effects of the motion of the plasma as a whole, the constant parts of the velocities will be retained, so that possible effects of plasma motion may be studied (e.g., the motion of a satellite through a plasma may indeed act as though the plasma had a constant velocity  $\mathbf{v}_i^0 = \mathbf{v}_e^0 = \mathbf{v}_m^0$ ). In any case, then, linearization leads to the equation of motion:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}_e}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{v}_e^0 \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{v}_e &= \frac{-e}{m_e} \left[ \mathbf{E} + \frac{1}{c} (\mathbf{v}_e^0 \times \mathbf{H}_0) + \frac{1}{c} (\mathbf{v}_e^0 \times \mathbf{h}) + \frac{1}{c} (\mathbf{v}_e \times \mathbf{H}_0) \right] - \frac{-\nabla p_e}{m_e n_0} \\ &+ \nu_{e_i} [(\mathbf{v}_i^0 - \mathbf{v}_e^0) + (\mathbf{v}_i - \mathbf{v}_e)] + \nu_{em} [(\mathbf{v}_m^0 - \mathbf{v}_e^0) + (\mathbf{v}_m - \mathbf{v}_e)] \end{aligned} \quad (9a)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}_i}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{v}_i^0 \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{v}_i &= \frac{e}{m} \left[ \mathbf{E} + \frac{1}{c} (\mathbf{v}_i^0 \times \mathbf{H}_0) + \frac{1}{c} (\mathbf{v}_i^0 \times \mathbf{h}) + \frac{1}{c} (\mathbf{v}_i \times \mathbf{H}_0) \right] - \frac{\nabla p_i}{m_i n_0} \\ &+ \nu_{e_i} [(\mathbf{v}_e^0 - \mathbf{v}_i^0) + (\mathbf{v}_e - \mathbf{v}_i)] + \nu_{im} [(\mathbf{v}_m^0 - \mathbf{v}_i^0) + (\mathbf{v}_m - \mathbf{v}_i)] \end{aligned} \quad (9b)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}_m}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{v}_m^0 \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{v}_m &= \frac{-\nabla p_m}{MN_0} - \frac{m_e n_0}{M N_0} \nu_{em} [(\mathbf{v}_m^0 - \mathbf{v}_e^0) + (\mathbf{v}_m - \mathbf{v}_e)] \\ &- \frac{m_i n_0}{M N_0} \nu_{im} [(\mathbf{v}_m^0 - \mathbf{v}_i^0) + (\mathbf{v}_m - \mathbf{v}_i)] \end{aligned} \quad (9c)$$

In addition the equations of continuity become .

$$n_0 \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}_e + (\mathbf{v}_e^0 \cdot \nabla) n_e + \frac{\partial n_e}{\partial t} = 0 \quad (10a)$$

$$n_0 \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}_i + (\mathbf{v}_i^0 \cdot \nabla) n_i + \frac{\partial n_i}{\partial t} = 0 \quad (10b)$$

$$N_0 \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}_m + (\mathbf{v}_m^0 \cdot \nabla) n + \frac{\partial n}{\partial t} = 0 \quad (10c)$$

while the charge and current densities are

$$\rho = e(n_i - n_e) \quad (11a)$$

$$\mathbf{J} = en_0(\mathbf{v}_i - \mathbf{v}_e) + e(\mathbf{v}_i^0 n_i - \mathbf{v}_e^0 n_e) + eN_0(\mathbf{v}_i^0 - \mathbf{v}_e^0). \quad (11b)$$

The last term in Eq. (11b) represents a gross convection of charge. Now if the fluid is at rest in the Laboratory frame of reference ( $\mathbf{v}_e^0 = \mathbf{v}_i^0 = \mathbf{v}_m^0 = 0$ ), then

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{v}_e}{\partial t} + \frac{e}{m_e} \left[ \mathbf{E} + \frac{1}{c} (\mathbf{v}_e \times \mathbf{H}_0) \right] + \frac{\nabla p_e}{m_e n_0} = \nu_{e_i} (\mathbf{v}_i - \mathbf{v}_e) + \nu_{em} (\mathbf{v}_m - \mathbf{v}_e) \quad (12a)$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{v}_i}{\partial t} - \frac{e}{m_i} \left[ \mathbf{E} + \frac{1}{c} (\mathbf{v}_i \times \mathbf{H}_0) \right] + \frac{\nabla p_i}{m_i n_0} = \nu_{e_i} (\mathbf{v}_e - \mathbf{v}_i) + \nu_{im} (\mathbf{v}_m - \mathbf{v}_i) \quad (12b)$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{v}_m}{\partial t} + \frac{\nabla p_m}{MN_0} = \frac{-m_e n_0}{M} \nu_{em} (\mathbf{v}_m - \mathbf{v}_e) - \frac{m_i n_0}{M N_0} (\mathbf{v}_m - \mathbf{v}_i) \quad (12c)$$

$$n_0 \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}_e + \frac{\partial n_e}{\partial t} = 0 \quad (13a)$$

$$n_0 \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}_i + \frac{\partial n_i}{\partial t} = 0 \quad (13b)$$

$$N_0 \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}_m + \frac{\partial n}{\partial t} = 0 \quad (13c)$$

$$\rho = e(n_i - n_e) \quad (14a)$$

$$\mathbf{J} = en_0(\mathbf{v}_i - \mathbf{v}_e) \quad (14b)$$

## NAVAL RESEARCH LABORATORY

3

Substitution of the above relations in Eqs. (1)-(4) and neglect of products of perturbation terms leads to the linearized equations of motion. In order to study the effects of the motion of the plasma as a whole, the constant parts of the velocities will be retained, so that possible effects of plasma motion may be studied (e.g., the motion of a satellite through a plasma may indeed act as though the plasma had a constant velocity  $\mathbf{v}_i^0 = \mathbf{v}_e^0 = \mathbf{v}_m^0$ ). In any case, then, linearization leads to the equation of motion:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}_e}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{v}_e^0 \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{v}_e &= \frac{-e}{m_e} \left[ \mathbf{E} + \frac{1}{c} (\mathbf{v}_e^0 \times \mathbf{H}_0) + \frac{1}{c} (\mathbf{v}_e^0 \times \mathbf{h}) + \frac{1}{c} (\mathbf{v}_e \times \mathbf{H}_0) \right] - \frac{-\nabla p_e}{m_e n_0} \\ &+ \nu_{ei} [(\mathbf{v}_i^0 - \mathbf{v}_e^0) + (\mathbf{v}_i - \mathbf{v}_e)] + \nu_{em} [(\mathbf{v}_m^0 - \mathbf{v}_e^0) + (\mathbf{v}_m - \mathbf{v}_e)] \end{aligned} \quad (9a)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}_i}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{v}_i^0 \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{v}_i &= \frac{e}{m} \left[ \mathbf{E} + \frac{1}{c} (\mathbf{v}_i^0 \times \mathbf{H}_0) + \frac{1}{c} (\mathbf{v}_i^0 \times \mathbf{h}) + \frac{1}{c} (\mathbf{v}_i \times \mathbf{H}_0) \right] - \frac{\nabla p_i}{m_i n_0} \\ &+ \nu_{ei} [(\mathbf{v}_e^0 - \mathbf{v}_i^0) + (\mathbf{v}_e - \mathbf{v}_i)] + \nu_{im} [(\mathbf{v}_m^0 - \mathbf{v}_i^0) + (\mathbf{v}_m - \mathbf{v}_i)] \end{aligned} \quad (9b)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}_m}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{v}_m^0 \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{v}_m &= \frac{-\nabla p_m}{MN_0} - \frac{m_e n_0}{M N_0} \nu_{em} [(\mathbf{v}_m^0 - \mathbf{v}_e^0) + (\mathbf{v}_m - \mathbf{v}_e)] \\ &- \frac{m_i n_0}{M N_0} \nu_{im} [(\mathbf{v}_m^0 - \mathbf{v}_i^0) + (\mathbf{v}_m - \mathbf{v}_i)] \end{aligned} \quad (9c)$$

In addition the equations of continuity become .

$$n_0 \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}_e + (\mathbf{v}_e^0 \cdot \nabla) n_e + \frac{\partial n_e}{\partial t} = 0 \quad (10a)$$

$$n_0 \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}_i + (\mathbf{v}_i^0 \cdot \nabla) n_i + \frac{\partial n_i}{\partial t} = 0 \quad (10b)$$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{D} = \frac{1}{i\omega} \left( 4\pi \nabla \cdot \mathbf{J} + i\omega \nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} \right) = \frac{4\pi}{i\omega} \left( \nabla \cdot \mathbf{J} + \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} \right) = 0 \quad (19)$$

so  $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{D} = 0$  is an expression for the conservation of charge.

In order to gain some insight into the solutions of Eqs. (12) et seq. consider the case where the molecular and ionic motions are negligible; i.e.,  $m_i = M \rightarrow \infty$ , so  $\mathbf{v}_i = \mathbf{v}_m \rightarrow 0$ . If it is further assumed that all quantities are harmonic in time (except for  $H_0$  which is a constant) and that the pressure gradients  $\nabla_{p_i}$ , etc., are also negligible relative to the electrodynamic forces, then Eq. (12a) becomes

$$i\omega \mathbf{v}_e + \frac{e}{m_e} \left[ \mathbf{E} + \frac{1}{c} (\mathbf{v}_e \times \mathbf{H}_0) \right] = -(\nu_{e_i} + \nu_{e_m}) \mathbf{v}_e = -\nu_{\text{eff}} \mathbf{v}_e \quad (20)$$

where  $\nu_{\text{eff}}$  is the effective number of collisions. Equation (20) may be solved (6) for  $\mathbf{v}_e$ ; thus

$$i\omega \left[ \frac{(1 + i\nu_{\text{eff}})}{\omega} \mathbf{v}_e - \frac{e\mathbf{H}_0 \times \mathbf{v}_e}{im_e \omega c} \right] = \frac{-e}{m_e} \mathbf{E}.$$

Now let

$$\Omega = \frac{e\mathbf{H}_0}{m_e \omega} \left\| \begin{array}{ccc} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right\| = \frac{\omega_g}{\omega} \left\| \begin{array}{ccc} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right\|$$

where  $H_0$  is directed along the  $z$  axis, and  $\omega_g = eH_0/m_e c$  is the gyro or cyclotron frequency. For convenience let  $\beta = 1 + i\nu/\omega$ ; then

$$i\omega(\beta \mathbf{I} + i\Omega) \cdot \mathbf{v}_e = -\frac{e}{m_e} \mathbf{E} \quad (21a)$$

where  $\mathbf{I}$  is the unit tensor. Equation (21a) can be rewritten as

$$\mathbf{v}_e = -\frac{e}{i\omega m_e} (\beta \mathbf{I} + i\Omega)^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{E}. \quad (21b)$$

From the definition of  $\mathbf{D}$  (Eq. (17)) one obtains

$$\mathbf{D} = \left( \frac{-4\pi e^2 n_0 \mathbf{v}_e}{i\omega m_e} + \mathbf{E} \right) = \left[ \mathbf{I} - \frac{\omega_0^2}{\omega^2} (\beta \mathbf{I} + i\Omega)^{-1} \right] \cdot \mathbf{E} \quad (22)$$

where  $\omega_0 = \sqrt{4\pi e^2 n_0 / m_e}$  is the plasma frequency. The dielectric tensor  $\epsilon$ , by definition (Eq. (18)), is

$$\epsilon = \left[ \mathbf{I} - \frac{\omega_0^2}{\omega^2} (\beta \mathbf{I} + i\Omega)^{-1} \right]. \quad (23a)$$

By writing down the components of the tensors as indicated in Eqs. (18) and (20) and performing the indicated inverse operation it is easy to show that the components of  $\epsilon$  are

$$\epsilon_{xx} = \epsilon_{yy} = \left\{ 1 - \frac{\omega_0^2 (\omega^2 - i\nu_{eff})}{\omega [(\omega - i\nu_e)^2 - \omega_g^2]} \right\} \quad (23b)$$

$$\epsilon_{xy} = -\epsilon_{yx} = \frac{-i\omega_g \omega_0^2}{\omega [(\omega - i\nu_{eff})^2 - \omega_g^2]} \quad (23c)$$

$$\epsilon_{zz} = \left[ 1 - \frac{\omega_0^2}{(\omega^2 - i\nu_{eff}\omega)} \right]. \quad (23d)$$

All other components are zero.

If the approximations leading to Eqs. (22) are not made, i.e., Eqs. (12a), (12b), and (12c) are retained while the pressure term is still assumed to be negligible and  $m_i = M$ , then one is led by the same sort of analysis to the following components for  $\epsilon$ :

$$\epsilon_{xx} = \epsilon_{yy}, \quad \epsilon_{xy} = \epsilon_{zx} = \epsilon_{yz} = \epsilon_{zy} = 0, \quad \epsilon_{xy} = -\epsilon_{yx}$$

$$\epsilon_{zz} = 1 - \frac{\omega_0^2}{\omega [\omega - i(\nu_{e_i} + \nu_{e_m})]} \quad (24a)$$

$$\epsilon_{xx} \mp i\epsilon_{xy} = 1 - \frac{\omega_0^2 \left( 1 + \frac{\nu_{i_m}}{i\omega + \nu_{i_m} \frac{n_0}{N_0}} \right)}{A - iB} \quad (24b)$$

$$A = \left[ \omega \mp \omega_g - i(\nu_{e_i} + \nu_{e_m}) \right] \left( \omega + \Omega g + \frac{\omega \nu_{i_m}}{i\omega + \nu_{i_m} \frac{n_0}{N_0}} \right)$$

$$B = \left( \frac{\omega \nu_{e_m} \frac{m_e}{M}}{i\omega + \nu_{i_m} \frac{n_0}{N_0}} \mp \Omega g \right) \left( \nu_{e_i} + \frac{\nu_{e_m} \nu_{i_m} \frac{n_0}{N_0}}{i + i_m \frac{n_0}{N_0}} \right)$$

where  $\Omega g = eH_0/m_i c$  is the ion cyclotron frequency.

As a result of the previous analysis the equations describing the propagation of waves through a plasma were shown to be

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = \frac{-i\omega}{c} \mathbf{h} \quad (25a)$$

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{h} = \frac{4\pi}{c} (i\omega \mathbf{D} + \mathbf{J}_{ex}) \quad (25b)$$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{D} = 0 \quad (25c)$$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{h} = 0 \quad (25d)$$

$$\mathbf{D} = \epsilon \cdot \mathbf{E} \quad (25e)$$

where  $\mathbf{J}_{\text{ex}}$  is the current density external to the region containing the plasma. In addition one has the relation

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} = 4\pi\rho = 4\pi n_0 e(n_i - n_e) \quad (25f)$$

which specifies the oscillating electric charge; i.e.,  $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E}$  represents the charge due to the polarization of the medium. It should be noted that, by definition, for an incompressible plasma all temporal and spatial gradients vanish; i.e., the quantities  $n_i$ ,  $n_e$ , and  $n_m$  are all equal to zero, since they represent a variation in density from the constant value  $n_0$ . This implies that  $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} = \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}_i = \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}_e = 0$ . Of course an incompressible plasma does not exist in nature; however, there may be some interest in studying its properties, as the flow of an incompressible fluid is one of the simplest possible.

#### THE RAYLEIGH-CARSON THEOREM

As pointed out previously (Eq. (23a)) the dielectric tensor is antisymmetric and in the particular case where the magnetic field is directed along the  $Z$  axis is of the form

$$\epsilon = \begin{vmatrix} \epsilon_{xx} & \epsilon_{xy} & 0 \\ -\epsilon_{xy} & \epsilon_{yy} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \epsilon_{zz} \end{vmatrix}. \quad (26)$$

The  $\epsilon_{ij}$  are complex numbers if there are collisions in the gas. In general the dielectric tensor may be written as the sum of a symmetric and antisymmetric part, i.e.,

$$\epsilon_{ij} = a_{ij} + b_{ij} \quad (27)$$

where  $a_{ij} = a_{ji}$  and  $b_{ij} = -b_{ji}$ .

Suppose now that there are two different distributions of fields which will be represented by  $\mathbf{E}^1$ ,  $\mathbf{H}^1$ ,  $\mathbf{J}^1$ ,  $\hat{\mathbf{E}}^2$ ,  $\hat{\mathbf{H}}^2$ , and  $\hat{\mathbf{J}}^2$ . The  $\hat{\mathbf{E}}^2$ , etc., are presumed to satisfy the same set of equations as the  $\mathbf{E}^1$ , i.e.,

$$\nabla \times \hat{\mathbf{E}}^2 = \frac{-i\omega}{c} \hat{\mathbf{H}}^2 \quad (28a)$$

$$\nabla \times \hat{\mathbf{H}}^2 = \frac{4\pi}{c} (i\omega \hat{\epsilon} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{E}}^2 + \hat{\mathbf{J}}_{\text{ex}}^2) \quad (28b)$$

$$\nabla \cdot \hat{\epsilon} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{E}}^2 = 0 \quad (28c)$$

$$\nabla \cdot \hat{\mathbf{H}}^2 = 0. \quad (28d)$$

The tensor  $\hat{\epsilon}$  has not been defined precisely as yet, but its relationship to  $\epsilon$  will be demonstrated later.

By use of the field equations for the  $\mathbf{E}$  and  $\hat{\mathbf{E}}$  it can be shown that

$$\begin{aligned}
(\hat{\mathbf{H}}^2 \cdot \nabla \times \mathbf{E}^1 - \mathbf{E}^1 \cdot \nabla \times \hat{\mathbf{H}}^2) + (\hat{\mathbf{E}}^2 \cdot \nabla \times \mathbf{H}^1 - \mathbf{H}^1 \cdot \nabla \times \hat{\mathbf{E}}^2) &= \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{E}^1 \times \hat{\mathbf{H}}^2 - \hat{\mathbf{E}}^2 \times \mathbf{H}^1) \\
&= \frac{-i\omega}{c} (\hat{\mathbf{H}}^2 \cdot \mathbf{H}^1 - \mathbf{H}^1 \cdot \hat{\mathbf{H}}^2) + \frac{i\omega 4\pi}{c} (\hat{\mathbf{E}}^2 \cdot \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \cdot \mathbf{E}^1 - \mathbf{E}^1 \cdot \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{E}}^2). \quad (29)
\end{aligned}$$

In Eq. (29),  $(\hat{\mathbf{H}}^2 \cdot \mathbf{H}^1 - \mathbf{H}^1 \cdot \hat{\mathbf{H}}^2)$  vanishes immediately, while  $(\hat{\mathbf{E}}^2 \cdot \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \cdot \mathbf{E}^1 - \mathbf{E}^1 \cdot \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{E}}^2)$  can also be shown to vanish provided  $\hat{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}$  satisfies certain conditions. To establish these conditions, consider

$$\hat{\mathbf{E}}^2 \cdot \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \cdot \mathbf{E}^1 = \hat{E}_i^2 \epsilon_{ij} E_j^1 = (a_{ij} + b_{ij}) E_j^1 \hat{E}_i^2$$

and also

$$\mathbf{E}^1 \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{E}}^2 = E_i^1 \hat{\epsilon}_{ij} \hat{E}_j^2 = \widehat{(a_{ij} + b_{ij})} E_i^1 \hat{E}_j^2.$$

If  $\hat{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}$  is defined so that

$$\widehat{(a_{ij} + b_{ij})} = (a_{ij} - b_{ij}) \quad (30)$$

then

$$\mathbf{E}^1 \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{E}}^2 = \widehat{(a_{ij} + b_{ij})} E_i^1 \hat{E}_j^2 = (a_{ij} - b_{ij}) E_i^1 \hat{E}_j^2 = (a_{ij} + b_{ij}) \hat{E}_i^2 E_j^1 = \hat{\mathbf{E}}^2 \cdot \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \cdot \mathbf{E}^1$$

where the summation indices have been interchanged in the next to the last term and the properties of  $a_{ij}$  and  $b_{ij}$  have been utilized. It follows that  $(\hat{\mathbf{E}}^2 \cdot \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \cdot \mathbf{E}^1 - \mathbf{E}^1 \cdot \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{E}}^2)$  vanishes if Eq. (30) is satisfied. With these conditions established Eq. (29) may be integrated over all space with the result that

$$\int \nabla \cdot [\mathbf{E}^1 \times \hat{\mathbf{H}}^2 - \hat{\mathbf{E}}^2 \times \mathbf{H}^1] d\tau = \int_S (\mathbf{E}^1 \times \hat{\mathbf{H}}^2 - \hat{\mathbf{E}}^2 \times \mathbf{H}^1) \cdot d\mathbf{S} = \int (\hat{\mathbf{E}}^2 \cdot \mathbf{J}_{\text{ex}}^1 - \mathbf{E}^1 \cdot \hat{\mathbf{J}}_{\text{ex}}^2) d\tau. \quad (31)$$

If the bounding surface  $S$  is placed at infinity, then the surface integral vanishes (7); thus the volume integral also vanishes.

The fields  $\hat{\mathbf{E}}^2$  and  $\hat{\mathbf{H}}^2$  are simply the electromagnetic fields obtained by replacing the actual magnetoactive plasma in which the dielectric tensor is  $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$  by a fictitious medium in which it is  $\hat{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}$ . This is equivalent to reversing the direction of the static magnetic field.

It follows from Eq. (31) that

$$\int \hat{\mathbf{E}}^2 \cdot \mathbf{J}_{\text{ex}}^1 d\tau = \int \mathbf{E}^1 \cdot \hat{\mathbf{J}}_{\text{ex}}^2 d\tau. \quad (32)$$

By interchanging the circumflex in Eq. (32) it immediately follows that

$$\int \mathbf{E}^2 \cdot \hat{\mathbf{J}}_{\text{ex}}^1 d\tau = \int \hat{\mathbf{E}}^1 \cdot \mathbf{J}_{\text{ex}}^2 d\tau. \quad (33)$$

Either equation expresses the same physical content. As a matter of convenience in some applications the integral on the right side of Eq. (32) may be represented by the product  $v^1 I^2$ . For example suppose that when a voltage  $v^1$  is applied to antenna 1 a current  $I^2$  flows in antenna 2 oriented in the same direction as a result of the voltage applied to the first antenna. Since the applied voltage  $v^1$  only has a value in the region

where the voltage is applied (assuming perfect conductors), the integration gives  $v^1 I^2$ , where  $I^2$  is the current in antenna 2.

### CHARGE DISTRIBUTION AROUND A SATELLITE

A satellite moves through a partially ionized medium composed of positive ions, electrons, and neutral molecules. If it is assumed that the ions in the plasma are near thermal equilibrium, then the thermal velocities of the electrons will be approximately 100 times that of the ions. Thus the incident flux of electrons on the satellite will be larger than the ion flux and tend to charge the satellite to a negative potential. The satellite potential can be determined from the requirement that the ion current equal the electron current, for electrical equilibrium. That is, the satellite acquires a negative charge of such a value such that electrons having an energy less than the satellite potential are repelled, thus limiting the electron current to the ion current.

In order to calculate the satellite potential the method of Jastrow and Pearse (8) will be followed. The following parameters of the ionosphere and satellite will be assumed:

$$\text{Satellite Velocity } v_s = 10^6 \text{ cm/sec}$$

$$\text{Satellite Radius} = R \text{ cm}$$

$$\text{Satellite Potential} = \phi_0$$

$$\text{Electron and ion temperatures, } T_e = T_i = T = 1500^\circ\text{K}$$

$$k = \text{Boltzmann's constant} = 1.4 \times 10^{-16} \text{ erg/}^\circ\text{K}$$

$$\text{Ambient value of electron and ion density, } n_0 = 10^5/\text{cm}^3.$$

The most probable ion velocity is  $\sqrt{2kT/m_i} = 10^5$ , so that  $v_i \ll v_s \ll v_e$ . Since the ion velocity is much less than the satellite velocity, the satellite plows into a stationary distribution of ions, so that the flux of positive ions striking the satellite becomes

$$\pi R^2 n_i v_s. \quad (34)$$

Since the electron velocity is much greater than the velocity of the satellite, electrons strike the vehicle from all directions. However, only those electrons with velocities  $v_e$  such that

$$v_e > \sqrt{2|e\phi_0|/m_e} \quad (35)$$

will reach the satellite ( $\phi_0$  is the satellite potential). In the following the subscript on  $m_e$  will be dropped for convenience. The flux of electrons reaching the satellite is

$$\begin{aligned} 4\pi R^2 n_e \int_{\sqrt{|e\phi_0|/kT}}^{\infty} \frac{\epsilon^{-mc^2/2kT_e}}{(2\pi kT_e/m)^{3/2}} c^2 dc \int_0^{\pi/2} c \sin \theta \cos \theta d\theta \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi \\ = \frac{4\pi R^2 n_e}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left(\frac{kT}{m}\right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{|e\phi_0|}{kT} + 1\right) \epsilon^{-|e\phi_0|/kT} \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

By equating expression (34) to the right side of Eq. (36) there is obtained

$$\frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \left( \frac{2kT_e}{m} \right)^{1/2} \left( \frac{|e\phi_0|}{kT} + 1 \right) \epsilon^{-|e\phi_0|/kT} = v_s. \quad (37)$$

If one assumes a temperature of  $1.5 \times 10^3$  °K for the electrons, then it is found that  $|e\phi_0|/kT = 5$ , from which it follows that  $\phi_0 = 0.75$  volt.

This then is the potential assumed by the satellite. If an electron temperature of 1.5 ev is assumed rather than 0.15 ev, then the satellite potential becomes about 7.5 volts. Approximate experimental data give a value of about 3 to 5 volts.

Now the potential distribution satisfies the equation

$$\nabla^2 \phi = e(n_i - n_e) \quad (38)$$

where for the stationary case

$$n_i, \quad n_e \propto n_0 \epsilon^{-|e\phi|/kT} \quad (39)$$

However, since the ion velocity  $v_i \ll v_s$ , the ion distribution cannot move in such a manner as to adjust to the requirement of Eq. (39), so that the ion density cannot depend upon  $\phi$ . In other words the satellite is moving through a cloud of stationary ions and the satellite potential cannot produce appreciable changes in the motion of the massive ions. On the other hand, the velocities of the electrons are greater than the satellite velocity, so that the charge density for the electrons is as indicated in Eq. (39). The Poisson equation becomes, in this case,

$$\nabla^2 \phi = -4\pi\rho = -4\pi en_0 \left( 1 - \epsilon^{-|e\phi|/kT} \right) \quad (40)$$

which is a modified form of the Debye-Hückle equation.

In order to obtain an approximation to Eq. (40), we note that the charge density is approximately equal to  $n_0 e$  near the satellite and decreases to zero as the field point moves away from the satellite, as will be verified. As a first approximation, then, it will be assumed that

$$\rho = \begin{cases} n_0 e & R < r < R + \ell \\ 0 & r > \ell + R \end{cases} \quad (41)$$

Here  $n_0 e$  is the ambient positive charge due to the positive ions (all the electrons are assumed to have been repelled by the negative potential on the satellite) and  $R$  is the satellite radius. The quantity  $\ell$  is the screening distance, at which distance from the satellite the negative charge on the satellite is completely screened out.

Integration of Eq. (40) with a constant charge density yields

$$\phi = \frac{-2\pi n_0 e}{3} r^2 - \frac{a}{r} + b \quad (42)$$

where  $a$  and  $b$  are constants of integration. According to the assumptions made above

$$\phi(R) = \phi_0 = \frac{-2\pi}{3} n_0 e R - \frac{a}{R} + b \quad (43)$$

and

$$\phi(R + \ell) = \frac{-2\pi}{3} n_0 e (R + \ell)^2 - \frac{a}{R + \ell} + b = 0. \quad (44)$$

The surface charge density on the satellite is

$$4\pi\sigma = E_0 = -\left(\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial r}\right)_R = \frac{-4\pi n_0 e}{3} R + \frac{a}{R^2}.$$

So the total charge is

$$4\pi R^2\sigma = \frac{-4\pi n_0 e}{3} R^3 + a. \quad (45)$$

In order to screen out the electric field due to the charge on the satellite the total positive charge surrounding the satellite must equal that on the device itself; thus

$$\frac{-4\pi n_0 e}{3} R^3 + a = \frac{4\pi}{3} n_0 e \left[ (R+\ell)^3 - R^3 \right].$$

Then

$$a = \frac{4\pi}{3} n_0 e (R+\ell)^3. \quad (46)$$

From Eqs. (44) and (45) it follows that

$$\ell^2 = \frac{|\varphi_0|}{2\pi n_0 e} = \frac{2|\varphi_0 e|}{kT} \frac{kT}{4\pi n_0 e^2} \quad (47)$$

or

$$\ell = \sqrt{\frac{2\varphi_0 e}{kT}} \lambda_D \quad (48)$$

where  $\lambda_D = \sqrt{kT/4\pi n_0 e}$  is the Debye length.

In the case under consideration,  $\lambda_D = 0.8$  cm and  $\ell = 2.5$  cm. According to Eq. (48),  $\ell$  will be larger the smaller  $n_0$  is for a given value of  $\varphi_0$ . The satellite potential  $\varphi_0$  does not depend upon the electron density in this approximation but only upon the mean electron energy and satellite velocity.

The question now arises as to whether the plasma sheath surrounding a satellite antenna can affect the reception of electromagnetic signals. In the discussion of the characteristics of the sheath it was found that the electron density near the satellite was  $e^{-5}$  or about two orders of magnitude below the normal plasma electron density and that the sheath extended outward from the satellite a distance of 2.5 cm or so. However, the ion density was near its normal value in this region. Since it is only the electrons that affect the propagation of electromagnetic signals (for frequencies above the ion plasma and cyclotron frequencies); it is reasonable to approximate the sheath by free space. That is, in such a model the normal plasma extends to a distance  $\ell$  from the satellite and the spherical shell of radii  $R$  and  $(R+\ell)$  is presumed to be free space.

It now appears unlikely that the plasma sheath can affect the propagation of vlf signals, since  $k\ell \ll 1$ ; i.e., the thickness of the sheath is a minute fraction of the wavelength ( $k$  = propagation constant).

This argument will be placed on a more rigorous basis by considering the interaction of a plane wave with a plasma void. Consider the situation in which a plane wave of wavelength  $\lambda_p$  propagating in a magnetoactive plasma is normally incident upon an infinite slab of thickness  $2\ell$  containing no plasma where  $\ell/\lambda_p \ll 1$ . In general the plane

wave has three components of electric intensity between which there is a linear relationship (3), viz.,  $\alpha E_x + \beta E_y + \gamma E_z = 0$ . Now since there is no charge in the slab, the normal component  $E_z$  of the vector  $\mathbf{E}$ , produces a charge  $\epsilon_{zz} E_z$  on the boundary of the plasma upon which the electromagnetic signal is incident. Furthermore since the component  $E_z$  must vanish inside the slab (the component of the electric field along the direction of propagation cannot exist in an uncharged medium), a charge identical to that on the incident boundary must exist on the exit boundary so that the normal component  $E_z$  of the transmitted field is identical to the incident. The tangential components  $E_x$  and  $E_y$  are transmitted across the slab such that the signal incident on the exit boundary is proportional to  $\epsilon^{i\omega 2l/c} \approx 1$ ; thus the tangential components of the transmitted signal can differ from the incident only by quantities of the order of  $\omega 2l/c \ll 1$ . Furthermore all transmitted components must satisfy the same linear relationship  $\alpha E_x + \beta E_y + \gamma E_z = 0$  as for the incident signal. Thus the signal on both slabs are the same within quantities of the order  $\omega 2l/c$ , and the antenna inside the slab is subjected to the same tangential fields as exist without. (This conclusion would not be correct if the thickness of the slab were an appreciable fraction of a wavelength.) Since the antenna is subjected to the same fields inside as exist outside, the voltage induced in the antenna should not be influenced by the plasma sheath, at least to first approximation.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author wishes to express his appreciation to Dr. C. V. Strain for his interest during the preparation of this work and to thank Mr. J. P. Leiphart and Mr. L. S. Bearce for their active interest and support of the problem.

#### REFERENCES

1. Oster, L., Rev. Mod. Phys. 32:141 (1960)
2. Schlueter, A., Z. Naturforsch: (a) 5a:72 (1950); (b) 6a:73 (1951)
3. Ginzburg, V.L., "Propagation of Electromagnetic Waves in Plasma," New York: Gordon and Breach, 1961
4. Jeans, J., "The Dynamical Theory of Gases," Cambridge University Press, 1921
5. Ferrado, V., Nuovo Cimento, Supplemento XIII:3 (1954)
6. Brandstatter, J.J., "An Introduction to Waves, Rays and Radiation in Plasma Media," New York:McGraw-Hill, 1963
7. Landau, L.D., and Lifshitz, E.M., "Electrodynamics of Continuous Media," p. 289, et seq., New York:Pergamon, 1960
8. Jastrow, R., and Pearse, C.A., J. Geophys. Research 62:413 (1957)

\* \* \*

DOCUMENT CONTROL DATA - R&D

(Security classification of title, body of abstract and indexing annotation must be entered when the overall report is classified)

1. ORIGINATING ACTIVITY (Corporate author) U.S. Naval Research Laboratory Washington, D.C. - 20390		2a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Unclassified	
		2b. GROUP	
3. REPORT TITLE ELECTRODYNAMICS IN A MAGNETO-IONIC ENVIRONMENT			
4. DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and inclusive dates) Interim report			
5. AUTHOR(S) (Last name, first name, initial) Faust, William R.			
6. REPORT DATE November 12, 1964		7a. TOTAL NO. OF PAGES 16	7b. NO. OF REFS 8
8a. CONTRACT OR GRANT NO. NRL Problem R01-36		9a. ORIGINATOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S) NRL Report 6163	
b. PROJECT NO. SF 019-02-02-7447		9b. OTHER REPORT NO(S) (Any other numbers that may be assigned this report)	
c.		d.	
10. AVAILABILITY/LIMITATION NOTICES Unlimited availability			
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		12. SPONSORING MILITARY ACTIVITY Navy Department (Bureau of Ships)	
13. ABSTRACT The equations of electromagnetic wave propagation in a magneto-ionic environment are first derived via the hydrodynamic equations of motion. This subject is presented in order to exhibit explicitly the approximations made in obtaining the equations of electrostatics as applied to a plasma. An application of the above results are then made to derive a generalized equation of reciprocity for a plasma medium. Last a theoretical discussion of the plasma sheath surrounding a satellite shows that the satellite is charged to a potential of about 0.75 volt and that the sheath extends out about 2.5 cm. Such a sheath may affect the characteristics of an antenna.			

14. KEY WORDS	LINK A		LINK B		LINK C	
	ROLE	WT	ROLE	WT	ROLE	WT
Plasma Theory Electromagnetic wave propagation Magneto-Ionic environment Electrodynamics Hydrodynamic approximation Magnetoactive medium Generalization of the Rayleigh-Carson theorem Ionosphere Satellite potential Charge distribution around satellite						

INSTRUCTIONS

1. **ORIGINATING ACTIVITY:** Enter the name and address of the contractor, subcontractor, grantee, Department of Defense activity or other organization (*corporate author*) issuing the report.
- 2a. **REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION:** Enter the overall security classification of the report. Indicate whether "Restricted Data" is included. Marking is to be in accordance with appropriate security regulations.
- 2b. **GROUP:** Automatic downgrading is specified in DoD Directive 5200.10 and Armed Forces Industrial Manual. Enter the group number. Also, when applicable, show that optional markings have been used for Group 3 and Group 4 as authorized.
3. **REPORT TITLE:** Enter the complete report title in all capital letters. Titles in all cases should be unclassified. If a meaningful title cannot be selected without classification, show title classification in all capitals in parenthesis immediately following the title.
4. **DESCRIPTIVE NOTES:** If appropriate, enter the type of report, e.g., interim, progress, summary, annual, or final. Give the inclusive dates when a specific reporting period is covered.
5. **AUTHOR(S):** Enter the name(s) of author(s) as shown on or in the report. Enter last name, first name, middle initial. If military, show rank and branch of service. The name of the principal author is an absolute minimum requirement.
6. **REPORT DATE:** Enter the date of the report as day, month, year, or month, year. If more than one date appears on the report, use date of publication.
- 7a. **TOTAL NUMBER OF PAGES:** The total page count should follow normal pagination procedures, i.e., enter the number of pages containing information.
- 7b. **NUMBER OF REFERENCES:** Enter the total number of references cited in the report.
- 8a. **CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER:** If appropriate, enter the applicable number of the contract or grant under which the report was written.
- 8b, 8c, & 8d. **PROJECT NUMBER:** Enter the appropriate military department identification, such as project number, subproject number, system numbers, task number, etc.
- 9a. **ORIGINATOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S):** Enter the official report number by which the document will be identified and controlled by the originating activity. This number must be unique to this report.
- 9b. **OTHER REPORT NUMBER(S):** If the report has been assigned any other report numbers (*either by the originator or by the sponsor*), also enter this number(s).
10. **AVAILABILITY/LIMITATION NOTICES:** Enter any limitations on further dissemination of the report, other than those

- imposed by security classification, using standard statements such as:
- (1) "Qualified requesters may obtain copies of this report from DDC."
  - (2) "Foreign announcement and dissemination of this report by DDC is not authorized."
  - (3) "U. S. Government agencies may obtain copies of this report directly from DDC. Other qualified DDC users shall request through \_\_\_\_\_."
  - (4) "U. S. military agencies may obtain copies of this report directly from DDC. Other qualified users shall request through \_\_\_\_\_."
  - (5) "All distribution of this report is controlled. Qualified DDC users shall request through \_\_\_\_\_."

If the report has been furnished to the Office of Technical Services, Department of Commerce, for sale to the public, indicate this fact and enter the price, if known.

11. **SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES:** Use for additional explanatory notes.
12. **SPONSORING MILITARY ACTIVITY:** Enter the name of the departmental project office or laboratory sponsoring (*paying for*) the research and development. Include address.
13. **ABSTRACT:** Enter an abstract giving a brief and factual summary of the document indicative of the report, even though it may also appear elsewhere in the body of the technical report. If additional space is required, a continuation sheet shall be attached.

It is highly desirable that the abstract of classified reports be unclassified. Each paragraph of the abstract shall end with an indication of the military security classification of the information in the paragraph, represented as (TS), (S), (C), or (U).

There is no limitation on the length of the abstract. However, the suggested length is from 150 to 225 words.

14. **KEY WORDS:** Key words are technically meaningful terms or short phrases that characterize a report and may be used as index entries for cataloging the report. Key words must be selected so that no security classification is required. Identifiers, such as equipment model designation, trade name, military project code name, geographic location, may be used as key words but will be followed by an indication of technical context. The assignment of links, roles, and weights is optional.