

A Study of the Ionization Produced by the Catalytic Combustion of Hydrocarbons

F. J. WOODS, M. E. UMSTEAD, AND J. E. JOHNSON

*Fuels Branch
Chemistry Division*

October 1, 1965



U.S. NAVAL RESEARCH LABORATORY
Washington, D.C.

CONTENTS

Abstract	ii
Problem Status	ii
Authorization	ii
INTRODUCTION	1
APPARATUS AND PROCEDURES	1
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	4
Catalytic Combustion of Hydrocarbons	6
Ion Yield	7
Catalytic Combustion of Carbon Monoxide and Hydrogen	8
Catalytic Combustion of n-Hexane in the Presence of Hydrogen	9
SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS	10
REFERENCES	11

Copies available from
Clearinghouse for Federal Scientific and
Technical Information (CFS/1)
Sills Building, 5285 Port Royal Road
Springfield, Virginia 22151
\$1.00

ABSTRACT

Ionization produced during the catalytic combustion of hydrocarbons has been studied for its usefulness in applications such as chromatographic detection. When a platinum filament is heated above 500°C in an atmosphere containing the hydrocarbon, the formation of ions takes place during oxidation of the compound. The number of ions produced by the hydrocarbons is an exceedingly small fraction of the number of carbon atoms oxidized and varies widely depending upon the molecular structure of the hydrocarbon and the catalyst temperature. Branched hydrocarbons produce greater ionization than straight-chain compounds, although the ease of oxidation is opposite. Hydrogen and carbon monoxide burned in the combustion cell exhibit no ionization current, even when completely oxidized.

Because of the marked effect of molecular structure on ionization and the response of the method to low concentrations of hydrocarbons in air, the procedure may find future use as a specific detector in gas chromatography.

PROBLEM STATUS

This is an interim report; work is continuing on all phases of the program.

AUTHORIZATION

NRL Problems C08-30, C08-33, C01-03
Projects SF-013-08-03-4092, SP 89422,
and SR-001-06-02-0600

Manuscript submitted June 28, 1965.

A STUDY OF THE IONIZATION PRODUCED BY THE CATALYTIC COMBUSTION OF HYDROCARBONS

INTRODUCTION

Several years ago a study was conducted at this Laboratory concerning the catalytic oxidation in air of low concentrations of hydrocarbons and other organic compounds (1,2). The striking fact which emerged from this study was that under these conditions very small amounts of organic compounds were oxidized with no evidence of intermediate oxidation products. Only unburned hydrocarbon, carbon dioxide, and water were found in the effluent. About this same time, a considerable interest had developed concerning the nature of the ionization which occurred in hydrocarbon flames (3). Of particular note was the development of the hydrogen flame ionization detector for use in gas chromatography (4). To further the understanding of the catalytic combustion process, it was of interest to compare it with noncatalytic vapor phase oxidation, and with flame combustion. As a consequence, work was begun to explore the possibility of ion formation during catalytic combustion at temperatures much lower than those found in flames. The possibility was enhanced by the theory that ions in hydrocarbon flames were the result of chemi-ionization (3). It was soon demonstrated that the catalytic combustion process did in fact yield collectible ions. Since the initiation of the current work, several papers by Perkins and coworkers (5-7) have been published which also show that ions are produced during catalytic combustion. The sensitivity of this process to small quantities of hydrocarbon suggested possible application of this catalytic ionization phenomenon as a detector for gas chromatography. It appeared that this process might be suitable as a chromatographic detector specific for certain types of compounds.

In addition, it was deemed desirable to study the phenomenon of ionization during catalytic oxidation further to gain more insight into the general catalytic oxidation process. Of particular interest was the exploration of the effect of molecular structure, with emphasis on hydrocarbons in the studies presented here.

APPARATUS AND PROCEDURES

The experimental apparatus for studying ionization resulting from catalytic combustion consisted of a brass cell block containing a platinum combustion filament and an ion collector, together with a shielded battery, electrometer, and recorder. This arrangement is shown schematically in Fig. 1. Figure 2 is a detailed drawing of the combustion cell and Teflon plug insert in which is mounted the cylindrical platinum ion collector. As may be seen from Fig. 2, the gas enters the cell through a suitable hole in the Teflon plug and flows up around the spirally wound platinum catalytic filament and out near the top of the cell.

The platinum combustion filaments were obtained from the Precision Scientific Company. Immediately after installation in the detector, each new filament was noisy, i.e., it emitted positive ions starting at about 700°C. After the filaments were exposed to several temperature cycles with air or nitrogen flowing through the cell, the positive ion emission subsided, and the background current was no higher than 0.015×10^{-9} amp, even at the highest filament current used, 1.2 amp (ca. 1190°C).




Fig. 1 - Circuit used with catalytic combustion cell




Fig. 2 - Catalytic combustion cell

Spectrographic analyses were made of two of these filaments, one as received from the Precision Scientific Company, the other after being used in the catalytic combustion cell for many hours. These data are compared in Table 1. The table shows that the catalytic filament is practically 100% platinum. There is relatively little difference between the used and unused platinum filaments. The larger magnesium content of the new filament may be significant as a possible source of the positive ions emitted by the new platinum filament during break-in.

Table 1
Spectrographic Analysis of Platinum Filaments

Metallic Elements	Platinum Filament as Received	Platinum Filament After Being Used in Combustion Cell
Pt	Very strong (10.0 to 100%)	Very strong (10.0 to 100%)
Pd	-	-
Cu	Trace (0.0001 to 0.001%)	Faint trace (< 0.0001%)
Fe	-	-
Si	Weak (0.01 to 0.1%)	Weak (0.01 to 0.1%)
Mg	Very weak (0.001 to 0.01%)	Trace (0.0001 to 0.001%)
Pb	Very weak (0.001 to 0.01%)	Very weak (0.001 to 0.01%)
Au	-	-
Al	-	-
Os	-	-
Ir	-	-
Rh	-	-
Ru	-	-

The filaments were spirally wound and physically mounted as shown in Fig. 2. The filament was powered by a transistorized dc variable-voltage power supply. A 300-v battery provided the collector potential, usually operated at 300 v negative with respect to the filament. The output signal was developed across a 1.0×10^9 ohm resistor and a zero suppression network and amplified by a Keithley Model 220 electrometer which fed into a recorder.

The temperature of the filament was measured at the three highest current settings — 1.0, 1.1, and 1.2 amp — by means of an optical pyrometer. Filament temperatures at intermediate currents were calculated from the resistance of the filament at room temperature, the optical pyrometer values, and literature data on the change of resistance of platinum with temperature, along with the applied currents and voltages. The data relating filament current to filament temperature are given in Fig. 3.

In order to measure the temperature rise of the filament due to the combustion of the sample on its surface, the filament was made one leg of a Wheatstone bridge circuit. The bridge was balanced with air flowing through the cell, and the subsequent unbalance caused by the combustion of the sample was measured. This data enabled the heating effect of the various samples on the filament to be compared.

REF ID: A67070




Fig. 3 - Relationship of filament current to filament temperature

The mixtures of liquids and gases used were prepared in 1.7-l stainless steel bottles by injecting the liquid or gas into the evacuated bottle and then pressurizing to 10 atm with breathing-quality compressed air. A four-way valve was used to introduce the sample into the combustion cell. The two-position valve was operated as follows. In position one, air alone flowed through the combustion cell at a metered rate. At the same time the sample mixture was flowing through the other loop of the valve through a flow meter so that the flow rate could be matched to the air flow rate. In position two, the two streams were reversed so that the sample mixture flowed through the combustion cell. The flow rates used were either 50 or 100 cc/min.

The exhaust gases were passed through appropriate analytical instruments to determine the extent of oxidation. Carbon dioxide (CO_2) and carbon monoxide (CO) were analyzed with Model 15A Liston-Becker nondispersive infrared gas analyzers. Hydrocarbons and hydrogen (H_2) were determined by gas chromatography. For hydrocarbons, a DC-550 silicone oil column was used in either a Laboratory-built chromatograph or a Beckman GC-2A. Both instruments were equipped with Beckman hydrogen flame detectors. Hydrogen was measured with a Fisher Gas Partitioner, Model 25M, containing a 5A Molecular Sieves column.

The H_2 and CO used were obtained from the Matheson Company. The hydrocarbons were Phillips Chemical Company pure grade (99 + mole-%). The hydrocarbons were filtered through silica gel for the principal experiments discussed here. There was no evidence that the hydrocarbons contained any material, such as peroxides, which would influence the production or magnitude of ionization. For example, experiments were made with 2,2-dimethylbutane, both filtered through silica gel and unfiltered, and the data are given in Table 2. These data show that the unfiltered hydrocarbon contained no material that tended to influence ion or CO_2 production.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Some effort was expended in establishing the effect of certain operating parameters on the extent of ionization. However, details of these studies will not be presented except in a few instances, because the primary emphasis is put on the chemical aspects of the

Table 2
Effect of Silica Gel Filtering on Catalytic Ionization of 2,2-Dimethylbutane*

Treatment of Hydrocarbon	Hydrocarbon Used (%)	CO ₂ Produced (mg/l)	Ionization Current (10 ⁻⁹ amperes)
Filtered through silica gel	31.0	2.000	11.5
	31.5	2.000	11.6
Unfiltered	30.5	1.975	11.4
Unfiltered	31.6	2.015	11.4
Unfiltered	31.0	2.000	11.8
Unfiltered	32.4	2.025	11.8

*Platinum filament operating at temperature of 770°C and 50 cc/sec flow rate.

ionization which occurs. Analytical instrumentation was provided to determine the disappearance of fuel molecules and the appearance of oxidation products. The stability of the combustion system was excellent, no baseline noise being observed at relatively high filament temperatures. A small background current occurred at 1.2 amp filament current (ca. 1190°C), which was the highest used. Reproducibility of ionization current at a level of about 5×10^{-10} amp and higher was about $\pm 2\%$.

A potential of 300 v was selected and maintained between the collector and the heated filament. This potential was selected from data obtained in a study of ionization response as a function of applied potential. The data obtained are given in Fig. 4. The ionization current was measured as the collector potential was varied over a range of 0 to 360 v. When the collector (cylindrical outer electrode) was operated at a potential negative with respect to the heated filament, ionization currents were obtained as given in Tables 2 through 5. When the collector was positive, no measurable ion current was observed under the conditions of these experiments. This observation agrees with that published by Perkins and Folmer (6).




Fig. 4 - Ionization current as a function of collector potential (hydrocarbon concentration, 3.74 mg/l 2,2-dimethylbutane)

DTIC REPORT

Although hydrocarbon mixtures have been found to give an ionization signal at concentrations as low as 0.004 mg/l, higher concentrations were usually used to allow better analyses for CO_2 , CO , and the temperature rise of the filament. A detailed study of concentration effect has not been made. However, in the range of 0.1 to 1.0 mg/l, the ion current is roughly proportional to concentration.




Fig. 5 - Effect of filament current on ion current and carbon dioxide yield

When nitrogen was substituted for air, no ionization was observed. For example, when 0.4 mg/l of 2,2-dimethylbutane in nitrogen was passed over the platinum filament there was no evidence of oxidation or ionization at temperatures as high as 900°C. Similar experiments in air at these temperatures yielded sizable ionization currents as is shown in Fig. 5.

Catalytic Combustion of Hydrocarbons

To illustrate the general results obtained with hydrocarbon-air mixtures, representative data for the catalytic oxidation of three isomeric hexanes — n-hexane, 2,2-dimethylbutane, and 2,3-dimethylbutane — are presented in Fig. 5. In addition to the ionization current and CO_2 yield given in this figure, simultaneous measurements were made of CO production, disappearance of hydrocarbon, and temperature rise of the filament.

Carbon dioxide is the dominant carbon-containing oxidation product. Curves of hydrocarbon loss roughly parallel the CO_2 yield. Only a trace of CO is found at lower filament temperatures and less than 5% of theory at the highest temperatures used.

From Fig. 5, it is immediately apparent that there is no direct relationship between extent of oxidation for a given hydrocarbon and the ion current produced. For example, although significant CO_2 production (4.3%) is measured for n-hexane at a filament temperature of 350°C, no measurable ion current is recorded until a filament temperature of 650°C is reached (CO_2 production is 16.7%). A sharp increase in ion population occurs as the filament temperature is increased, with maximum ionization at 770 to 900°C, followed by a rather sharp decrease. Qualitatively, all of these characteristics are typical of the results obtained for all the aliphatic hydrocarbons studied. In all cases substantial oxidation occurs at filament temperatures considerably below those at which ionization begins.

It is observed in Fig. 5 that the branched hexanes were less easily oxidized than was n-hexane, based on conversion to CO_2 and temperatures for onset of oxidation. This is corroborated by data for the disappearance of hydrocarbon. This is similar to the case of uncatalyzed vapor phase oxidation in air, where branched-chain paraffin hydrocarbons are more difficultly oxidized than straight-chain paraffins (8,9).

The most intriguing fact observed in Fig. 5, however, is that n-hexane, although more easily oxidized, shows considerably less ionization than the branched-chain hexanes at a given filament temperature. This large difference in ionization has been corroborated for these three hydrocarbons several times under these and other conditions.

For comparison with values for these three hexanes, the ionization currents measured during the catalytic oxidation of several additional hydrocarbons are given in Table 3. Benzene produces almost no ions under these conditions. It appears evident that the effect of chain branching for the alkanes is a general phenomenon, not a random effect. The order of magnitude increase in ionization for the branched 2,2-dimethylbutane and 2,2,4-trimethylpentane over the n-alkanes, hexane and octane, is well demonstrated and has been substantiated by experimentation using several different platinum coil catalysts, hydrocarbon concentrations, and flow rates.

Table 3
Ionization Produced by Catalytic Combustion
of Hydrocarbons

Hydrocarbon*	Ionization Current (10^{-9} amperes)
n-Hexane	0.67
2-Methylpentane	1.11
3-Methylpentane	1.45
2,3-Dimethylbutane	1.81
2,2-Dimethylbutane	15.8
n-Octane	0.87
2,2,4-Trimethylpentane	9.9
Benzene	0.024
t-Butylbenzene	1.65

*Hydrocarbon concentration in air was 3.8 mg/l. Flow rate of mixture through combustion cell was 50 cc/min. Filament temperature 770°C.

Ion Yield

The ion yields from the catalytic combustion of hydrocarbons were calculated from the number of gram atoms of carbon passing through the cell per unit time, the extent of burning, and the ion currents produced. Table 4 lists the yields for the three isomeric hexanes. By way of comparison, combustion of hydrocarbons in a hydrogen flame produces about 0.25 coulombs per gram atom carbon (10). The efficiency of ionization of hydrocarbon is extremely low in both the hydrogen flame and in the catalytic combustion cell. In the catalytic combustion of 2,2-dimethylbutane, one ion is formed for each 300,000 carbon atoms burned; of n-hexane, one for each 15,000,000.

For two of the hexanes, samples of each differing in concentration by a factor of almost ten were compared. Although the data in Table 4 are limited, a high degree of linearity of ion current with hydrocarbon concentration is indicated by the close agreement of the ion yields. The values are probably within experimental error.

Table 4
Ion Yield from the Catalytic Combustion of Hydrocarbons

Hydrocarbon	Concentration (mg/l)	Coulomb/g atom C
n-Hexane	3.8	0.0067
n-Hexane	0.42	0.0066
2,3-Dimethylbutane	3.8	0.021
2,2-Dimethylbutane	3.7	0.28
2,2-Dimethylbutane	0.41	0.26

Catalytic Combustion of Carbon Monoxide and Hydrogen

Since it has been well established (10) that no significant ionization occurs in flame combustion of H_2 and CO , it was of interest to determine the extent of ionization during catalytic combustion of these two gases. A mixture containing 1% H_2 in air was passed through the catalytic combustion cell under experimental conditions which have yielded substantial ionization currents with hydrocarbons. Although oxidation of the H_2 was considerable when compared to hydrocarbons at the same filament temperatures (see Fig. 6 and Table 5), there was no evidence of ionization even at filament temperatures as high as $1020^\circ C$, where H_2 oxidation was complete. The extent of H_2 oxidation was followed by gas chromatography of H_2 and the temperature rise of the filament. Oxidation of H_2 began at a lower filament temperature and was more complete than for hydrocarbons or CO as shown in Fig. 6.




Fig. 6 - Effect of filament current on combustion of hydrogen, carbon monoxide, and n-hexane

A similar mixture of CO in air also showed no ionization over the same wide range of filament temperatures, even though considerable oxidation occurred as shown by the disappearance of CO (see Fig. 6) and production of CO_2 .

Table 5
Combustion and Ionization of n-Hexane and Hydrogen


Fila- ment Tem- pera- ture (°C)	Percent Combustion				Ion Current (10 ⁻⁹ amperes)		
	H ₂	n-Hexane	H ₂ -n-Hexane Mixture		H ₂	n-Hexane	H ₂ -n-Hexane Mixture
			H ₂	n-Hexane			
130	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
200	61.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
270	77.8	0.0	21.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
350	80.6	0.0	67.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
440	82.6	0.0	81.8	18.8	0.0	0.0	0.0
540	91.3	13.3	88.5	25.4	0.0	0.0	0.0
650	92.3	15.1	89.5	27.5	0.0	0.009	0.003
770	93.2	33.5	91.5	29.3	0.0	0.051	0.051
900	95.0	39.3	92.2	33.7	0.0	0.078	0.061
1020	97.0	43.8	98.0	44.6	0.0	0.096	0.060

It was not unexpected that catalytic oxidation of H₂ and CO did not generate ions. In this respect the catalytic process is the same as flame combustion. However, this finding appears to be at variance with Perkins and Folmer (6) who state that they observed ionization for these two compounds which was about as great as for other compounds.

The absence of an ion current during the combustion of H₂ and CO demonstrated that the current produced during the burning of a hydrocarbon was indeed due to ions formed in the combustion process and was not caused by thermal emission from the platinum catalyst. In all cases, the temperature rise of the filament due to the burning of the H₂ was greater than, and that due to the CO equivalent to, that produced by the hydrocarbon at a given applied filament temperature. If the ion current had been due to thermal emission, the H₂ and CO should have produced higher or equal currents.

Catalytic Combustion of n-Hexane in the Presence of Hydrogen

Recent theories on the mechanism of flame ionization of hydrocarbons (3) are based primarily on mass spectrometric data which show that the predominant positive ion is H₃O⁺. One theory suggests that the ultimate reaction is



Consequently, it seemed possible that the oxidation of large amounts of hydrogen at the surface of the catalyst simultaneously with the catalytic oxidation of the hydrocarbon might enhance the ion production or yield more stable ions that might be collected more readily.

As stated earlier, the catalytic oxidation of H₂ was not accompanied by a measurable ion current. A relatively low concentration of n-hexane (0.42 mg/l) in air containing 1%

H₂ was passed through the combustion cell. The combustion of this amount of n-hexane does not cause a significant increase in filament temperature compared with that produced by the hydrogen. The data are given in Table 5, along with values for H₂ alone and n-hexane alone.

It was found that this mixture yielded somewhat more oxidation of n-hexane and less ionization than the n-hexane alone in air. The combustion of the H₂ was decreased by the presence of hydrocarbon.

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

Not enough information is available at present to suggest a mechanism for the ionization associated with the catalytic oxidation of hydrocarbons. However, a number of facts have been established which will be helpful in any explanation by limiting some of the possibilities.

1. No ions are collected during the catalytic combustion of CO or H₂.
2. Substantial ion currents are produced during the catalytic oxidation of hydrocarbons at 550°C or greater.
3. At low filament temperatures, 300 to 400°C, no ions are produced, even when substantial oxidation of the hydrocarbon occurs.
4. There appears to be an optimum catalyst temperature for the production of ions. The fact that this temperature is nearly the same for all hydrocarbons studied suggests a similar mechanism is involved in all cases.
5. The production of ions is as much as an order of magnitude greater for branched hydrocarbons than for straight-chain hydrocarbons. In contrast to flame ionization, the hydrocarbon structure has a profound effect on ionization induced by catalytic combustion.
6. Extent of ionization is not directly related to ease of oxidation of a particular hydrocarbon. For example, 2,2-dimethylbutane yielded many times more ions than n-hexane, although the latter was oxidized more readily.
7. As with flame ionization, the number of ions produced is an exceedingly small fraction of the number of carbon atoms oxidized.

It seems premature to postulate a mechanism to account for the ions produced during oxidation of hydrocarbons over a platinum catalyst. However, it seems likely that this phenomenon is another instance of chemi-ionization, that is, ionization resulting from the reaction of two or more atoms or molecules. This is in contrast to thermal ionization. Chemi-ionization is suggested by several observations: (a) the absence of ions when nitrogen is substituted for air, (b) the absence of ions when hydrogen and carbon monoxide are oxidized in this system, and (c) the tremendous influence of molecular structure of the hydrocarbon on the extent of ionization realized.

The marked effect of molecular structure on the ionization measured during catalytic oxidation of hydrocarbons makes this process look promising as a specific detector for use in gas chromatography. The response to low concentrations of hydrocarbons in air is encouraging in this respect. However, more work is required to establish the response of this process to other molecular types. In addition, a more detailed study is planned in regard to factors such as geometry of the cell, nature of the catalyst, and air flow rate, in order to demonstrate the optimum cell configuration and operating conditions.

REFERENCES

1. Christian, J.G., and Johnson, J.E., "Catalytic Combustion of Nuclear Submarine Atmospheric Contaminants," NRL Report 6040, Mar. 1964
2. Christian, J.G., and Johnson, J.E., *Int. J. Air Wat. Poll.*, 9:1 (1965)
3. Calcote, H.F., "Ion Production and Recombination in Flames," in "Eighth Symposium (International) on Combustion," Baltimore:Williams and Wilkins Co., pp. 184-199, 1962
4. McWilliam, I.G., and Dewar, R.A., "Flame Ionization Detector for Gas Chromatography," in "Gas Chromatography, 1958," Desty, D.H., editor, London:Butterworths Scientific Publications, pp. 142-52, 1958
5. Folmer, O.F., Jr., Yang, K., and Perkins, G., Jr., *Anal. Chem.* 35:454 (1963)
6. Perkins, G., Jr., and Folmer, O.F., Jr., "Some Aspects of the Quantitative Characteristics of Catalytic Combustion Ionization Detectors," in "Gas Chromatography," Fowler, L., editor, New York:Academic Press, pp. 193-202, 1963
7. Perkins, G., Jr., Yang, K., and Folmer, O.F., Jr., *Nature*, 198:780 (1963)
8. Hinshelwood, C.N., *J. Chem. Soc.* 1948:531
9. Johnson, J.E., Crellin, J.W., and Carhart, H.W., *Ind. Eng. Chem.*, 46:1512 (1954)
10. Sternberg, J.C., Gallaway, W.S., and Jones, D.T.L., "The Mechanism of Response of Flame Ionization Detector," in "Gas Chromatography," Brenner, N., Callen, J.E., and Weiss, M.D., editors, New York:Academic Press, pp. 231-267, 1962

DOCUMENT CONTROL DATA - R&D

(Security classification of title, body of abstract and indexing annotation must be entered when the overall report is classified)

1. ORIGINATING ACTIVITY (Corporate author) U.S. Naval Research Laboratory Washington, D.C. 20390		2 a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Unclassified	
		2 b. GROUP	
3. REPORT TITLE A STUDY OF THE IONIZATION PRODUCED BY THE CATALYTIC COMBUSTION OF HYDROCARBONS			
4. DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and inclusive dates) An interim report on one phase of the problem.			
5. AUTHOR(S) (Last name, first name, initial) Woods, F.J., Umstead, M.E., and Johnson, J.E.			
6. REPORT DATE October 1, 1965		7 a. TOTAL NO. OF PAGES 16	7 b. NO. OF REFS 10
8 a. CONTRACT OR GRANT NO. NRL Problems C08-30, C08-33, C01-03		9 a. ORIGINATOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S) NRL Report 6316	
b. PROJECT NO. SF-013-08-03-4092,		9 b. OTHER REPORT NO(S) (Any other numbers that may be assigned this report)	
c. SP 89422 and SR-001-06-02-0600			
d.			
10. AVAILABILITY/LIMITATION NOTICES Unlimited availability - Available at CFSTI - \$1.00			
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		12. SPONSORING MILITARY ACTIVITY Department of the Navy (Bureau of Ships)	
13. ABSTRACT Ionization produced during the catalytic combustion of hydrocarbons has been studied for its usefulness in applications such as chromatographic detection. When a platinum filament is heated above 500°C in an atmosphere containing the hydrocarbon, the formation of ions takes place during oxidation of the compound. The number of ions produced by the hydrocarbons is an exceedingly small fraction of the number of carbon atoms oxidized and varies widely depending upon the molecular structure of the hydrocarbon and the catalyst temperature. Branched hydrocarbons produce greater ionization than straight-chain compounds, although the ease of oxidation is opposite. Hydrogen and carbon monoxide burned in the combustion cell exhibit no ionization current, even when completely oxidized. Because of the marked effect of molecular structure on ionization and the response of the method to low concentrations of hydrocarbons in air, the procedure may find future use as a specific detector in gas chromatography.			

UNCLASSIFIED

14. KEY WORDS	LINK A		LINK B		LINK C	
	ROLE	WT	ROLE	WT	ROLE	WT
Catalytic combustion Ionization Hydrocarbons Platinum catalyst Chemi-ionization Gas chromatography						

INSTRUCTIONS

1. **ORIGINATING ACTIVITY:** Enter the name and address of the contractor, subcontractor, grantee, Department of Defense activity or other organization (*corporate author*) issuing the report.

2a. **REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION:** Enter the overall security classification of the report. Indicate whether "Restricted Data" is included. Marking is to be in accordance with appropriate security regulations.

2b. **GROUP:** Automatic downgrading is specified in DoD Directive 5200.10 and Armed Forces Industrial Manual. Enter the group number. Also, when applicable, show that optional markings have been used for Group 3 and Group 4 as authorized.

3. **REPORT TITLE:** Enter the complete report title in all capital letters. Titles in all cases should be unclassified. If a meaningful title cannot be selected without classification, show title classification in all capitals in parenthesis immediately following the title.

4. **DESCRIPTIVE NOTES:** If appropriate, enter the type of report, e.g., interim, progress, summary, annual, or final. Give the inclusive dates when a specific reporting period is covered.

5. **AUTHOR(S):** Enter the name(s) of author(s) as shown on or in the report. Enter last name, first name, middle initial. If military, show rank and branch of service. The name of the principal author is an absolute minimum requirement.

6. **REPORT DATE:** Enter the date of the report as day, month, year, or month, year. If more than one date appears on the report, use date of publication.

7a. **TOTAL NUMBER OF PAGES:** The total page count should follow normal pagination procedures, i.e., enter the number of pages containing information.

7b. **NUMBER OF REFERENCES:** Enter the total number of references cited in the report.

8a. **CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER:** If appropriate, enter the applicable number of the contract or grant under which the report was written.

8b, 8c, & 8d. **PROJECT NUMBER:** Enter the appropriate military department identification, such as project number, subproject number, system numbers, task number, etc.

9a. **ORIGINATOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S):** Enter the official report number by which the document will be identified and controlled by the originating activity. This number must be unique to this report.

9b. **OTHER REPORT NUMBER(S):** If the report has been assigned any other report numbers (*either by the originator or by the sponsor*), also enter this number(s).

10. **AVAILABILITY/LIMITATION NOTICES:** Enter any limitations on further dissemination of the report, other than those

imposed by security classification, using standard statements such as:

- (1) "Qualified requesters may obtain copies of this report from DDC."
- (2) "Foreign announcement and dissemination of this report by DDC is not authorized."
- (3) "U. S. Government agencies may obtain copies of this report directly from DDC. Other qualified DDC users shall request through _____."
- (4) "U. S. military agencies may obtain copies of this report directly from DDC. Other qualified users shall request through _____."
- (5) "All distribution of this report is controlled. Qualified DDC users shall request through _____."

If the report has been furnished to the Office of Technical Services, Department of Commerce, for sale to the public, indicate this fact and enter the price, if known.

11. **SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES:** Use for additional explanatory notes.

12. **SPONSORING MILITARY ACTIVITY:** Enter the name of the departmental project office or laboratory sponsoring (*paying for*) the research and development. Include address.

13. **ABSTRACT:** Enter an abstract giving a brief and factual summary of the document indicative of the report, even though it may also appear elsewhere in the body of the technical report. If additional space is required, a continuation sheet shall be attached.

It is highly desirable that the abstract of classified reports be unclassified. Each paragraph of the abstract shall end with an indication of the military security classification of the information in the paragraph, represented as (TS), (S), (C), or (U).

There is no limitation on the length of the abstract. However, the suggested length is from 150 to 225 words.

14. **KEY WORDS:** Key words are technically meaningful terms or short phrases that characterize a report and may be used as index entries for cataloging the report. Key words must be selected so that no security classification is required. Identifiers, such as equipment model designation, trade name, military project code name, geographic location, may be used as key words but will be followed by an indication of technical content. The assignment of links, roles, and weights is optional.